

### **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Applicant sincerely thanks Examiner Chauhan for conducting a telephonic interview with Applicant's representative, David W. Foster, on May 8, 2008.

During the interview, Applicant's representative respectfully traversed the Office's rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 112 second paragraph and 103(a). For instance, Applicant's representative respectfully submitted that the cited combination ("Advani" in view of "Mills") fails to disclose, teach, or suggest "receiving a user selection of one of the events in the listing; configuring a graphics processing unit to execute the selected event; [and] executing the selected event in the graphics processing unit" as recited in independent claim 1.

Applicant's representative understood Examiner Chauhan to agree that the proposed amendment to claim 12 obviated the grounds for the § 112 second paragraph rejection. In addition, Applicant's representative understood Examiner Chauhan to be receptive to potential amendments obviating the grounds for the § 103 rejections. For instance, Examiner Chauhan suggested further clarifying that the state of the graphics processing unit is set to the captured state associated with the selected event. However, no formal agreement was achieved.